

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,486	10/04/2000	Geoffrey Thomas Andrews	1652-11	8045
23628	7590 05/25/2006		EXAM	INER
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
FEDERAL RE	ESERVE PLAZA			
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/678,486	ANDREWS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 /	March 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18 and 20-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	ar					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Raper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060522				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Both independent claims 16 and 25 have been amended to include the claim limitation of "the intermediate portion of the balloon member has a radial diameter, in a deflated state, which corresponds substantially to a radial diameter of the intermediate portion of the balloon member at a maximum radially expanded state...". This language is indefinite because one cannot reasonable determine how the balloon in a deflated state can have essentially the same diameter as in an expanded state. The instant specification and drawings show the balloon in both a deflated state and an inflated state, and the diameter of the balloon in the inflated state is clearly larger than the diameter of the balloon in the deflated state. One skilled in the art cannot reasonably determine based on the specification the meets and bounds of above claim limitation. Therefore, the claims have been interpreted as that in the inflated state the balloon has a diameter that larger than in the deflated state but not significantly or substantially larger.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16,20-22,24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersen et al (USPN 4,706,670). Andersen discloses a balloon with an intermediate portion and terminal portions. The balloon has substantially uniform thickness and a fluid impervious material with reinforcing fibers. The fibers include nylon. The fibers are in the form of a braid and include two helices that are opposed to each other. The fibers also include a critical angel. The wall material is polyurethane.

Claims 16,18,20-22,24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jorgensen (USPN 5,647,848). Jorgensen discloses a balloon with an intermediate portion and terminal portions. The balloon has substantially uniform thickness and a fluid impervious material with reinforcing fibers. The fibers include liquid crystal polymer. The fibers may be in the form of a braid. See 3:40-62. The fibers also include a critical angel.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3763

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious design choice to make the balloon have a percentage of expansion of any percentage including 115% in order to use the balloon in a variety of procedures that require balloon catheters ranging from urinary catheters to cardiovascular applications.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Andersen is directed to a compliant balloon catheter. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a compliant balloon catheter) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argues that the balloon of Andersen will stretch significantly in response to introduction of inflation fluid into the balloon. However, applicant has chosen to use broad claim language regarding the inflation of the claimed balloon. Applicant's claim, as now amended, reads that a diameter in the deflated state corresponds substantially to the diameter at the maximum expanded states. The term substantially as used here renders the claim indefinite as described above. As already stated, the claim is understood to mean that the balloon does

Art Unit: 3763

inflate a small amount. Without additional claim language that specifically provides a reference point for gauging the degree of inflation or an actual dimension of inflation, the Andersen reference reads on the claim limitation since the balloon only inflates from 1.33mm to 2.55mm or less. This inflation is considered to substantially correspond to the deflated diameter.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,486 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

when S. William

May 22, 2006